## **DOCUMENT # 2**

## Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) Omnibus Amendment

## **Summary of Alternatives Under Consideration by the Councils**

SBRM Element	Alternatives Under Consideration					
1.Bycatch Reporting and Monitoring Mechanisms	Status quo			Implement electronic video monitoring		
2.Analytical Techniques and Allocation of Observers	Status quo	"Bycatch variance method"		"Bycato variano method" importai filter	ce ' w/	Minimum percent observer coverage
3.SBRM Performance Standard	Status quo			Establish a CV standard		
4.SBRM Review/ Reporting Process	Status quo		Specify an SBRM review process		Require semi-annual bycatch reports	
5. Framework Adjustment Provisions	Status quo		Framework adjustment		Frameworks and annual adjustments	
6. Prioritization Process	Status quo			Council consultation		
7. Industry-Funded Observer Programs ( <u>NEFMC</u> only)	Status quo		Observer provider approval		Framework provisions	

## April 2007

The above table presents the set of alternatives currently under consideration by the Councils for implementation in the Northeast Region SBRM. The cells shaded gray with bold font for elements 1-4 represent the Councils' preliminary preferred alternatives selected prior to seeking public comment on the draft amendment. The yellow-shaded cells in element 2 represent a slight change in how the alternatives are defined.<sup>1</sup> The yellow-shaded cells with italicized font for elements 4-7 represent new alternatives not previously considered by the Councils. These alternatives were developed either as a result of input received during the public hearing phase on the draft amendment (elements 5 and 6) or as a result of additional issues suggested by the New England Council to be included in the SBRM Amendment (elements 4 and 7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What had been defined as the "status quo" alternative in the public hearing draft of the amendment is now partitioned into the status quo (which equates to the methodology as described in Appendix A) and the "bycatch variance assignment method" (which equates to the methodology as described in Chapter 5). The functional differences are slight and feature primarily to highlight the changes in scope and applicability.